



This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

### Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + *Refrain from automated querying* Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

### About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at <http://books.google.com/>



4V  
9305  
114  
474  
Public Document

No. 85

THIRD ANNUAL REPORT  
OF THE  
COMMISSION ON PROBATION,  
FOR THE  
YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 1911.



BOSTON:  
WRIGHT & POTTER PRINTING CO., STATE PRINTERS,  
18 POST OFFICE SQUARE.  
1912.



THIRD ANNUAL REPORT

OF THE

COMMISSION ON PROBATION,

FOR THE

YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 1911.



BOSTON:  
WRIGHT & POTTER PRINTING CO., STATE PRINTERS,  
18 POST OFFICE SQUARE.  
1912.



STATE

AUG 2 '39

## COMMISSION ON PROBATION.

---

ROBERT O. HARRIS, *Chairman.*

JOHN D. McLAUGHLIN.

WILLIAM SULLIVAN.

WARREN A. REED.

JOSEPH LEE.

---

EDWIN MULREADY, *Deputy Commissioner and Secretary.*

---

OFFICE, COURT HOUSE, PEMBERTON SQUARE, BOSTON.





# The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

---

## REPORT OF THE COMMISSION ON PROBATION.

---

*To the Honorable the Senate and the House of Representatives in  
General Court assembled.*

The Commission on Probation respectfully submits its third annual report of the probation work of the courts for the year ending Sept. 30, 1911. The law provides that this report "shall include such information as the commission may consider to be useful, with any suggestions or recommendations that it desires to make."

For a detailed report of the work accomplished by the probation officers of the State, reference is made to the attached report with statistical tables rendered to this commission by its deputy.

To those familiar with the probation feature of the judicial system this report is most encouraging, showing as it does not only a marked advance in the application of probation principles, but also a great improvement in probation methods. Most of the probation officers seem to be animated by a wholesome appreciation of their duties, and perform their labors with real zeal and enthusiasm. More than 10 per cent. (15,887) of all the cases now brought before the courts are placed on probation, and as a pledge of their sincerity these probationers have paid to the probation officers, during the past year, the sum of \$86,195.49, the same to be used in making proper restitution, for the support of dependent families, and for fines under suspended sentence.

Attention is again called to the wide variance in the probation work of the different courts, with no apparent reason for such a variance in the practice. No community should be denied the advantages of this method of dealing with the offenders against

the law; neither should the administration of this beneficent law be entrusted to those temperamentally unfitted for this important work, or to those who would nullify the law by neglecting or refusing to apply any of its salient features.

In former reports of this commission it has been suggested that certain probation officers were holding other positions which were necessarily opposed to effective probation work. Deputy sheriffs, clerks of courts and bail commissioners ought not to be called upon to act as probation officers.

Deputy sheriffs are in many places looked upon as an important adjunct to the police department, and, therefore, there is no consistency in a law which permits the appointment of deputy sheriffs and at the same time prohibits the appointment of police officers.

Clerks of courts are, in many cases, practicing attorneys, and, therefore, have not the time and seldom the disposition to perform effective probation work. Hence, it is again asserted that these persons should not serve as probation officers while acting in these distinctly inconsistent positions.

Thousands of persons arrested for drunkenness are released by the probation officer without arraignment in court. The bail commissioner might receive a fee in many of these cases had they not been released, and it is too much to expect that one acting as probation officer, and at the same time bail commissioner, will forget his financial interest and release such persons. To claim that this is good public policy is to deny the wisdom of many existing laws designed to prevent similar evils.

As a commission charged with a certain responsibility for these matters, we cannot remain silent while this practice obtains, and, therefore, we ask for the further consideration of this important matter.

When a person has been sentenced for violation of law to a term in any reformatory or prison there should be due consideration shown in the matter of releasing such a person before the end of such term. If the machinery of the law is invoked to sentence a man to an institution there should at least be some formal action taken before such sentence is nullified. Once admit the right of some outside authority to vacate a formal sentence of the court of justice and there is nothing needed but

the good will of some one in power to bring about the release of any prisoner. The right to parole a prisoner for good and sufficient reasons, and after due consideration, should remain unchanged, but the indiscriminate release of prisoners for any reason should not be permitted.

Probation is an effort to give the person a chance to reform without imprisonment. The defendant is advised that if he does not avail himself of this opportunity the door of the prison will be open to receive him. Of what value is this statement if at the prison door there stands one vested with authority to release without any consideration of the efforts made, or to be made, for the reform of this individual. Indiscriminate release strikes at the effectiveness of all reform work. Release based on investigation, and coupled with a consultation with those who have before endeavored to point the way to good citizenship, may mean the salvation of the individual.

Investigation by a duly authorized agent of the court is assured by the provisions of section 121, chapter 85 of the Revised Laws. These provisions are absent from section 120 of the same chapter, and as the efforts of probation officers are thwarted everywhere by proceedings under section 120, we again reaffirm our suggestion of last year regarding the repeal of said section 120.

#### LEGISLATIVE RECOMMENDATION.

The general administration of the so-called suspended sentence law has not only brought out its many good elements but has also developed some defects which seem to require attention.

Chapter 338, Acts of 1905, is designed to deal with two distinct classes: first, where a person is sentenced to imprisonment and with a suspension of the execution of sentence is placed on probation. In this class, if the terms of probation are observed for a certain stated period, it is the clear intent of the law that the defendant shall escape punishment. Second, where a person is sentenced to pay a fine and then placed on probation for a certain specified term and is permitted to pay his fine to the probation officer during such term, it is the clear intent of the law to collect the fine and to have the order of commitment become void when the fine is paid. Thus in one case the defendant es-

capable punishment, while in the other the probation force is used simply to collect the fine. Ordinarily the person sentenced to pay a fine would be considered more worthy of leniency than one sentenced to imprisonment, but this act would seem to reverse that order.

It has become the practice in most of the courts for the probation officer to collect the fines imposed under this law in partial payments, and this has become a source of confusion in the work of the court, for the clerks in many cases have held that they were not empowered to receive any portion of the fine but must have the entire amount fixed by the court.

To avoid the difficulties here stated the Commission on Probation respectfully submits the following amendment to the law: —

Section one of chapter three hundred and thirty-eight of the acts of the year nineteen hundred and five is hereby amended by striking out the said section and inserting in place thereof the following: —

*Section 1.* When a person convicted before a municipal, police or district court is sentenced to imprisonment, the court may direct that the execution of said sentence be suspended, and that he be placed on probation for such time and on such terms and conditions as it shall fix.

When a person convicted before any of said courts is sentenced to pay a fine, and to stand committed until the same is paid, the court may direct that the execution of said sentence be suspended for such time as it shall fix, and that he be placed on probation on condition that he pay said fine within the time so fixed. In case said sentence does not exceed ten dollars, if the court finds that the defendant is unable to pay the fine when it is imposed, and will not probably default, and that it will not be detrimental to the interests of the public, the execution of said sentence shall be suspended and he shall be placed on probation. Said fine shall be paid in one payment, or in part payments, to the probation officer, and when fully paid the order of commitment shall be void.

The probation officer shall give a receipt for every payment so made, shall keep a record of the same, shall pay said fine, or all sums received in part payment thereof, to the clerk of the court at the end of said period of probation or any extension thereof, and shall keep on file the clerk's receipt therefor. If at the end of said period the probation officer shall report that the fine is in whole or in part unpaid, and that in his opinion the person is unable to pay the same, the court may either extend said period, place the case on file or revoke the suspension

of the execution of the sentence. When the suspension of the execution of the sentence is revoked, in a case where there has been partial payment of a fine, the defendant may be committed for default in payment of the balance of such fine remaining unpaid.

#### PROCEEDINGS OF THE COMMISSION.

The commission has held eleven meetings and three conferences. Dec. 29, 1910, the first conference with the probation officers was held at the Lyman School, Westborough, with an attendance of 83.

##### *Topics.*

1. Should a person already on probation be surrendered on the pending complaint when brought in on a new charge, whatsoever its nature, or at least when brought in on a new charge analogous to the original offence?

2. What course should be pursued by a court in regard to an offender found to be on probation from another court?

3. What course should the justice pursue in the selection of new probation officers?

The second conference with the justices of the courts was held at Boston, June 7, 1911.

##### *Topics.*

Manner of appointing probation officers:—

1. Uncontrolled appointment by judges. Hon. Walter H. Clark of Hartford, Conn.

2. From civil service list. Mr. Arthur W. Towne, Secretary, New York State Probation Commission, Albany, N. Y.

3. Subject to approval by Probation Commission. Hon. Wilfred Bolster, Chief Justice Boston Municipal Court, Boston, Mass.

The third conference with the probation officers was held at Boston, June 9, 1911, with an attendance of 96.

##### *Topics.*

1. What probation officers do to help adult probationers.

2. Organization of systems of probation and parole.

3. Should the courts be empowered to commit prisoners to private homes, and, if so, what should be the length of the term, whether on probation or not?

4. Is it advisable for the Commission on Probation to ask for a pension law for probation officers?

5. Is it advisable to have the probation officer dispose of cases at the expiration of the term of probation rather than compel them to go before the court, as is now the practice?

In addition to these conferences the sessions of the National Probation Officers' Association, which were held in Boston in June, 1911, furnished an excellent opportunity for conference and discussion. These meetings were attended by a large number of probation officers.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT O. HARRIS, *Chairman.*

WILLIAM SULLIVAN.

JOHN D. McLAUGHLIN.

WARREN A. REED.

JOSEPH LEE.

COURT HOUSE, BOSTON, Jan. 17, 1912.

## REPORT OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER.

---

*To the Honorable Commission on Probation.*

GENTLEMEN:— I have the honor to present herewith my third annual report as executive officer of the commission, showing the varied activities of the probation department during the past year.

A review of the work accomplished, even in this brief period, shows a marked advancement in the probation service of the Commonwealth. Yet a condition is not satisfactory which denies any portion of the community the full benefit which results from the proper application of probation methods.

The justices of the courts have shown a growing interest in the work, and by their co-operation have made lighter the work of this office. As the result of numerous conferences and personal interviews the probation officers have shown a greater appreciation of their work and have cheerfully adopted the suggestions made to them.

The general attitude of the community has also changed, and wherever the principle of probation is understood and the law is fairly applied we hear nothing but words of commendation for this comparatively new method of dealing with the offender against the law.

The detailed reports attached hereto will show an increase in the number placed on probation and also a substantial increase in the amount of money received for nonsupport, restitution and other similar cases.

The total number of persons placed on probation in all the courts of the Commonwealth during the year ending Sept. 30, 1911, was 15,887, an increase of 369 over the previous year. The total number of cases remaining on probation Sept. 30, 1910, was 8,175; the total number of cases remaining on probation Sept. 30, 1911, was 8,217.

The statistical tables attached to this report will show in detail —

Court, number, age, sex.

Results.

Drunkenness investigations.

Special investigations.

Releases by direction of the probation officer.

Releases from prisons.

Amounts received: (a) restitution; (b) reparation; (c) nonsupport; (d) court expense; (e) suspended sentence.

Comparative disposition of cases.

Summary of all cases.

The last-named table is introduced for the first time and contains a summary of all the cases in all the courts of the Commonwealth.

#### COURTS.

There are 71 courts in Massachusetts dealing with criminal cases, exclusive of the superior courts, as follows: —

Boston Juvenile Court, . . . . .	1
Municipal courts, . . . . .	8
Police courts, . . . . .	15
District courts, . . . . .	47
<hr/>	
Total, . . . . .	71

#### PROBATION FORCE.

The number of probation officers employed in the courts of Massachusetts is 110, an increase of 4 during the past year, assigned as follows: —

Superior courts, . . . . .	15
Boston Juvenile Court, . . . . .	2
Municipal courts, . . . . .	24
Police courts, . . . . .	18
District courts, . . . . .	51
<hr/>	
Total, . . . . .	110



## CARD SYSTEM.

A card-index system was established in this office two years ago, the cards containing the history of each individual probation case reported from the courts of the Commonwealth. Nearly all the cases represented by the cards sent in during the year 1909-10 have reached a disposition, and a study of these cases seems to be quite encouraging. The results of 8,761 cases follow: —

	Cases.	Per Cent.
Continued on probation, . . . . .	119	1.3
Rearrested, . . . . .	188	2.0
Defaulted, . . . . .	677	8.0
Surrendered to the court, . . . . .	1,055	12.0
Discharged, dismissed or equivalent action taken, . . . . .	6,722	76.7

## FINANCIAL.

The probation officers have received in connection with their other duties the following amounts: —

Restitution, . . . . .	\$8,542 57
Reparation, . . . . .	1,828 61
Nonsupport, . . . . .	45,162 61
Court expense, . . . . .	2,877 88
Suspended sentence, . . . . .	27,783 82
Total, . . . . .	<hr/> \$86,195 49

It is a compliment to the officers concerned in this work that not a single complaint has reached this office relating to the manner in which this large sum has been handled. It should be borne in mind that this money was, in most cases, received from unwilling contributors, and that it has been paid in small, individual payments.

Chapter 35, Acts of 1911, provides that the Controller of County Accounts or his deputy "shall make an examination of their accounts and vouchers and the items of receipts and expenditures. He shall ascertain the actual amount of money on hand in each of said departments or with any of said officers. He shall require, so far as possible, uniformity and correctness

in the method of keeping said accounts, and may prescribe the classification of receipts and expenditures and a uniform system of receipts, certificates, vouchers and exhibits."

In conformity with the provisions of this law, books were prepared and sent to the different courts, showing in a uniform manner the receipts and expenditures of probation officers. While the controller has not completed his examination of the books of all the officers, and in some instances complains of the lack of co-operation on the part of the courts, it is my belief that when properly understood the officers will welcome the idea because of its intrinsic value to the State, as well as the protection it affords to the officer.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY WORK.

While the regular duties of the probation officers are clearly defined by law, a number of other important duties are assigned to the officers by the justices of different courts. This is especially true of the active work done for the wayward and delinquent children.

In the municipal court of Roxbury the court records show that in 1908 the total number of children brought before the court was 1,035, while in 1911 this number was reduced to 403, a reduction of 632. This is accounted for by the fact that the justice of this court has inaugurated a system by which complaints against children first go to the probation officer for investigation, and after the receipt of a report from the officer, containing all the facts in the case, the judge may approve of the settlement of the case without the presence of the child in court, the child, however, being obliged to report to the probation officer for a stated period. From May 1, 1909, to Oct. 1, 1911, 739 children were treated by this system and only 58 have been returned to the court. The probation officer of this court writes:—

The main object of our method is to give attention to those first daring steps in law-breaking not generally harmful to the community but more especially injurious to the boy himself, inasmuch as they are quite likely to lead to more serious breaches of the law. . . . As far as impressing the boy is concerned, it goes without saying that a boy is likely

to be more lastingly impressed by being compelled (by the parent) to report for a period of six months to the probation officer, with notes from his mother, than he would be by being brought before the court only to have his case placed on file, and to hear what he invariably interprets as a victory over the police officer when the clerk of the court, in giving the formal disposition, says: "You are discharged from custody."

The Worcester Merchants' Association has addressed a circular letter to all its members, asking that in case children are detected stealing from stores the probation officer shall be notified, the case investigated, and that action be taken in accordance with recommendation of the probation officer.

In Springfield the probation officer reports that "many more cases are settled out of court than those that are given the docket number, and, in my mind, the out-of-court settlements really show the strength of the probation system."

These instances of work outside the court are given at this time to emphasize the statement which has often been made that "the probation officer who has an appreciation of his vocation may become the great welfare worker in any community."

#### LEGISLATIVE.

Last year the commission recommended three amendments to the law governing probation work. One of these, dealing with the duties of female assistant probation officers, was intended to cover an inconsistency in the law, and this was corrected by chapter 8 of the Acts of 1911.

In the case of the proposed amendment to section 87, chapter 217 of the Revised Laws, which was designed to add "deputy sheriffs and clerks of courts" to police officers as those ineligible for appointment as probation officers, the Legislature accepted a report, "no legislation necessary."

The same disposition was made with the recommendation to amend section 120, chapter 225 of the Revised Laws, providing for the release of certain persons imprisoned for drunkenness.

State-wide study of conditions convinces me that the reasons advanced for these amendments were well grounded and should again receive the attention of the Legislature.

This is especially true of the practice of having clerks of courts act as probation officers, for it can be easily shown that this condition is detrimental to the best interests of real probation service. It is not enough to say that the responsibility rests with the court using this combination. Common experience teaches that it is a disagreeable and difficult matter for a judge to remove a probation officer, especially when the official was originally appointed by the same justice and is one who, after his removal as probation officer, must remain an intimate associate of the justice as clerk of his court. It has been said that some clerks would gladly rid themselves of these incompatible positions if the question of salary could be satisfactorily arranged. I submit that the probation service should not be allowed to suffer awaiting a readjustment of the salaries of certain clerks.

By section 14, chapter 413, Acts of the year 1906, the State Board of Charity was given authority "to supervise the probation work for wayward and delinquent children, and to make such inquiries as it considers necessary in regard to the same, and in its annual report to make such recommendations as it considers advisable for the improvement of methods of dealing with such children." Since the organization of the Commission on Probation there has been existing an agreement by which this supervisory work should be done under the direction of this commission. The State Board of Charity will ask the Legislature, at its present session, to change the law, substituting the words "Commission on Probation" for the "State Board of Charity," which will remove an apparent conflict of authority.

#### SUSPENDED SENTENCE.

The practice of suspending the execution of sentence continues to grow in favor with the courts, and is quite extensively practiced in many jurisdictions. There are some justices, however, who hold that it is not right to commit the court to a specific sentence and then place the defendant on probation, when the subsequent conduct of the probationer may incline the court toward more severe punishment. The majority of the justices find that the imposition of a definite sentence, with suspension of the execution thereof, works admirably in certain cases.

The law providing for suspension of sentence (chapter 338, Acts of 1905) imposed new duties and obligations on the probation officer, and the general practice of this law has shown some of its defects. It seems that there is no way in which the execution of a sentence to pay a fine may be suspended to give time to pay without placing the defendant on probation, and the suspension of a sentence to pay a fine cannot take place without condition requiring payment.

While it is almost the universal rule to have the person with a suspended sentence pay the fine by partial payments, as he may find it most convenient, there seems to be no law for this procedure; consequently, the probation officer finds himself with a considerable amount of money on hand, received from those who are really unable to complete the payment of their fines, as well as those who, after making sundry payments, are, for some reason, defaulted. A change in the law would remedy these undesirable conditions.

#### NONSUPPORT.

The Legislature of last year amended the law relating to non-support cases by an act (chapter 456, Acts of 1911), designated as the "uniform desertion act," to treat by a new method this very important question.

Section 8 of that act provides that "it shall be the duty of the superintendent, master or keeper, as the case may be, of any reformatory or penal institution in which any person is confined by virtue of a sentence imposed under the provisions of this act, providing that the court imposing such sentence finds the wife, child or children, as the case may be, of such person to be in destitute or needy circumstances, and so orders, to pay over to the probation officer, at the end of each week a sum equal to fifty cents for each day's hard labor performed by the person so confined. . . . And the probation officer shall pay over such sum promptly to the wife, or to the guardian or custodian of the minor child or children of the person so confined, or to the city, town, corporation or society supporting the wife or minor child or children at the time when the sentence was imposed, or to the treasurer of the commonwealth for the use of the state board of charity when the complaint was for neglect to provide for the

support of the minor child or of minor children who have been committed to the custody of said board. It is too early to judge of the efficacy of this law, but its adoption by the Legislature indicates the importance of the subject of nonsupport. The character of the work performed by the probation officers in this intricate situation will be watched with great interest.

#### RETIREMENT.

The question of the retirement of faithful public servants is one which received fresh impetus during the past year by the enactment of the laws for the retirement of the justices of the Superior Court, the Boston Municipal Court, of the employees of the Commonwealth, and of the different counties thereof, and some others.

While probation officers are undoubtedly included in one of these classes, there is a conviction on the part of many officers that there are reasons why the probation department should receive the same special consideration that has already been accorded to the police department and prison officials of the State.

Having admitted the principle of retirement as a means of improving the public service, it becomes simply a question of detail to adopt the course best fitted to accomplish the desired results. Probation officers are a part of the judicial system, perform a work which cannot be measured by the day, the week or the year, but one calling for the continuous discharge of the most exacting duties, and it would seem, therefore, that a law retiring them at a certain age limit, or upon prior disability, would not only prove desirable but would also materially improve this branch of the public service.

#### CHANGES IN THE PROBATION OFFICERS.

The following changes have taken place in the probation service during the past year:—

Mr. Joseph Connolly was appointed to take the place made vacant in the Boston Juvenile Court by the resignation of Mr. C. E. Fitzpatrick. Mr. Clayton H. Parmelee was appointed to fill the vacancy in the South Boston Municipal Court caused by the resignation of Mr. George N. Parker. Mr. Donald

North takes the place of Mr. John G. Taylor as probation officer for wayward and delinquent children in the Springfield Police Court, and Miss Emma L. Fall has been appointed under the provisions of chapter 470, Acts of 1911, assistant probation officer in the same court. Mr. Ulysses G. Varney was appointed assistant probation officer in the Roxbury Municipal Court, in accordance with chapter 116 of the Acts of 1911. Two certificates of necessity were issued under chapter 637, Acts of 1908, and Mr. Edward F. Murphy was appointed probation officer for wayward and delinquent children in the Fall River District Court, and Mr. Thomas J. McEneaney was appointed to a similar position in the Lawrence Police Court.

#### CONCLUSION.

During the three years' experience of this commission it has been our aim to study the exact condition of the probation system as administered throughout the State, to urge co-operation and co-ordination in the work, to organize the department, and to suggest such changes as might make the labor of this department more effective and, therefore, more valuable to the Commonwealth.

The justices of the courts and their probation officers have met us in the true spirit of co-operative effort, and while we felt obliged at times to say something by way of criticism, our words were taken in the spirit in which they were intended and all labored for the uplift of probation principles.

I desire at this time to thank sincerely the justices of the courts and the probation officers for this kindly co-operation, and also to express, in closing, a deep sense of appreciation of the labors of each member of this commission in making the work of probation better understood and more wisely applied.

Respectfully submitted,

EDWIN MULREADY,

*Deputy Commissioner.*





---

---

## APPENDIX.

---

---





## SUPERIOR COURTS — Continued.

CASES TAKEN ON PROBATION DURING THE YEAR ENDING SEPT. 30, 1911 — Continued.

TABLE I. — Offences, by Counties and Sex — Concluded.

OFFENCES.	BARNSTABLE, BRISTOL DUKES COUNTY AND NANTUCKET.		BERKSHIRE.		ESSEX.		FRANKLIN.		HAMDEN.		HAMPSHIRE.		MIDDLESEX.		NORFOLK.		PLYMOUTH.		SUFFOLK.		WORCESTER.		Total.	
	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.
Polygamy, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-
Profanity, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
Railer and brawler, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1
Rape, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	6	-
Rape, attempted, . . . . .	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
Rescuing prisoner and attempted, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	2	-
Robbery, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	11	-	-	-	12	-
Stolen property, receiving, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	13	1	-	-	17	1
Stubbornness, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	1	5
Threatening, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	3	-
Truancy, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
Unnatural act, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-
Vagrancy, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-
Total, . . . . .	51	7	11	4	40	1	4	1	21	2	5	-	208	15	36	2	71	6	690	68	75	8	1,212	114

**SUPERIOR COURTS — Continued.**

CASES TAKEN ON PROBATION DURING THE YEAR ENDING SEPT. 30, 1911 — Concluded.

TABLE II. — *Ages, by Counties and Sex.*

COUNTIES.	Under 10 Years.		10 to 12 Years.		13 Years.		14 Years.		15 Years.		16 Years.		17 Years.		18 Years.		19 Years.		20 Years.		21 Years.		22 to 30 Years.		31 to 40 Years.		41 to 50 Years.		Over 50 Years.		Total.	
	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.		
Barnstable, Bristol, Dukes County and Nantucket.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	4	-	1	-	1	-	3	-	2	-	1	1	11	3	9	2	10	1	7	-	51	7
Berkshire.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	6	2	2	2	-	-	1	-	11	4
Essex.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	2	-	2	-	5	-	9	-	3	-	4	-	1	-	9	1	3	-	1	-	-	-	40	1
Franklin.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	1
Hampden.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	2	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	10	1	4	-	1	-	-	-	21	2
Hampshire.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	2	-	-	-	5	-
Middlesex.	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	3	-	12	-	18	-	8	-	9	-	9	-	58	5	44	6	25	3	13	-	208	15
Norfolk.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	3	-	2	1	1	-	2	-	2	-	14	-	6	-	4	1	1	-	36	2
Plymouth.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	2	-	3	1	8	-	5	-	6	1	5	-	1	-	17	3	12	1	8	-	1	-	71	6
Suffolk.	1	-	4	-	14	-	18	1	18	1	18	2	28	5	38	1	35	2	20	2	24	1	180	24	147	23	98	7	54	-	690	68
Worcester.	-	-	-	-	3	-	2	-	2	-	2	-	5	-	2	-	5	-	2	-	3	-	19	2	15	6	12	-	2	-	75	8
Total.	1	-	9	-	19	-	26	2	33	3	3	63	5	79	3	64	3	46	2	42	3	326	41	243	40	161	12	79	-	1,212	114	

SUPERIOR COURTS — *Concluded.*TABLE III. — *Results in Superior Courts.*

COUNTIES.	Number surrendered to the Court for Violation of the Terms of Probation.	Number disappeared and defaulted.	Number arrested for New Offences during Probation.	Number who had their Probation extended.	Number of Cases on File or discharged at Expiration of Probation.
Barnstable, Bristol, Dukes County and Nantucket.	8	6	25	-	77
Berkshire, . . . . .	-	2	-	-	15
Essex, . . . . .	5	-	-	1	42
Franklin, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	1
Hampden, . . . . .	11	1	-	-	31
Hampshire, . . . . .	2	-	-	-	6
Middlesex, . . . . .	22	13	17	-	116
Norfolk, . . . . .	12	4	2	-	13
Plymouth, . . . . .	25	4	-	-	17
Suffolk, . . . . .	112	-	144	-	16
Worcester, . . . . .	24	1	-	-	2
Total, . . . . .	221	31	188	1	336

MUNICIPAL COURTS.  
CASES TAKEN ON PROBATION DURING THE YEAR ENDING SEPT. 30, 1911.  
TABLE IV. — *Offences, by Courts and Sex.*

OFFENCES.	BOSTON.		BRIGHTON.		CHARLESTOWN.		DORCHESTER.		EAST BOSTON.		ROXBURY.		SOUTH BOSTON.		WEST ROXBURY.		BROOKLINE.		TOTAL.	
	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.
Abandonment of child, . . . . .	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
Adultery, . . . . .	7	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	7	14
Affray, . . . . .	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2
Appropriation, unlawful, . . . . .	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	3	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	8	-
Assault, . . . . .	124	14	1	1	6	1	2	2	12	2	84	8	6	-	12	1	7	-	254	29
Assault, indecent, . . . . .	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	3	-
Automobile laws violation, . . . . .	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	69	-
Ball playing in street, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	2	-
Board of health rules violation, . . . . .	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-
Bonfire, setting, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	5	-
Breaking and entering, . . . . .	-	-	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	38	-	8	-	23	-	-	-	74	-
Breaking glass, . . . . .	1	2	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	5	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	9	2
Breaking street lights, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	6	-





Exposure, indecent, . . . . .	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	3	-	7	7	-	3
Failure to send child to school, . . . . .	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	7	-	1
Fire, giving false alarm, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-
Firearms and crackers, discharging, . . . . .	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	2	-	2
Forgery and uttering, . . . . .	7	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	2
Fornication, . . . . .	22	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	4	-	29	-	41
Fraudulent conveyance, . . . . .	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
Funeral procession, interfering with, . . . . .	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
Gaming and present at, . . . . .	29	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	2	37	-	6	90	-	-
House of ill fame, keeping, . . . . .	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
Idle and disorderly, . . . . .	9	19	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	5	-	4	20	-	19
Larceny, . . . . .	298	120	7	2	6	3	10	3	17	2	79	5	14	4	142
Larceny from person, . . . . .	6	2	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	2
Leased property, conveying, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1
Lewdness, . . . . .	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	1	4
Lewd and lascivious cohabitation, . . . . .	3	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	-	-	5	13
Liquor law, violation, . . . . .	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	2
Loitering, . . . . .	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
Malicious mischief, . . . . .	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	7	-	-	10	1
Milk law violation, . . . . .	4	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	11	1
Missiles, throwing, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	11	-
Neglect of child, . . . . .	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	1
Neglected child, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1





MUNICIPAL COURTS — Continued.  
 CASES TAKEN ON PROBATION DURING THE YEAR ENDING SEPT. 30, 1911 — Concluded.  
 TABLE V. — Ages, by Courts and Sex.

Courts.	Under 10 Years.		10 to 12 Years.		13 Years.		14 Years.		15 Years.		16 Years.		17 Years.		18 Years.		19 Years.		20 Years.		21 Years.		22 to 24 Years.		25 to 29 Years.		30 to 39 Years.		40 to 49 Years.		Over 50 Years.		Total.		
	M. F.		M. F.		M. F.		M. F.		M. F.		M. F.		M. F.		M. F.		M. F.		M. F.		M. F.		M. F.		M. F.		M. F.		M. F.		M. F.		M. F.		
	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	
Boston, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	59	14	69	13	57	23	45	25	58	33	570	334	657	373	475	117	210	27	2,301	859		
Brighton, . . . . .	-	-	-	2	-	1	-	1	-	-	2	-	1	2	-	1	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	5	-	15	2	6	3	5	-	43	8		
Charlestown, . . . . .	4	-	16	1	7	2	6	-	3	-	3	-	1	1	-	2	-	3	1	1	-	4	-	31	8	51	11	36	5	13	2	179	30		
Dorchester, . . . . .	2	-	8	-	7	-	6	-	9	1	8	-	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	-	2	-	15	3	17	2	18	1	3	-	105	10		
East Boston, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	-	12	2	7	1	4	-	4	-	5	1	59	3	65	3	56	3	26	1	248	14	
Roxbury, . . . . .	10	-	50	1	24	1	20	4	42	7	28	8	29	2	24	4	31	-	32	3	32	3	40	1	268	38	280	50	226	35	104	12	1,308	166	
South Boston, . . . . .	1	-	6	-	8	-	5	-	2	2	6	3	3	5	6	2	2	1	5	1	5	1	4	-	36	11	60	25	47	12	17	3	308	65	
West Roxbury, . . . . .	11	-	49	-	20	-	13	-	12	-	10	1	5	-	4	-	7	-	4	-	4	-	4	-	47	-	28	1	36	1	33	-	293	3	
Brookline, . . . . .	3	-	14	-	8	-	4	1	10	-	7	-	5	-	5	1	7	-	1	1	1	4	-	18	4	20	-	12	1	6	-	124	8		
Total, . . . . .	31	-	145	2	75	3	56	6	81	10	61	13	122	22	124	23	115	29	96	30	122	35	1,040	401	1,193	366	912	178	417	45	4,899	1,163			

MUNICIPAL COURTS — *Concluded.*TABLE VI. — *Results in Municipal Courts.*

COURTS.	Number surrendered to the Court for Violation of the Terms of Probation.	Number disappeared and defaulted.	Number arrested for New Offences during Probation.	Number who had their Probation extended.	Number of Cases on File or discharged at Expiration of Probation.
Boston, . . . . .	583	587	76	283	1,700
Brighton, . . . . .	2	13	2	2	49
Charlestown, . . . . .	19	2	1	4	50
Dorchester, . . . . .	6	2	-	-	97
East Boston, . . . . .	36	5	4	-	72
Roxbury, . . . . .	311	40	33	58	1,112
South Boston, . . . . .	38	3	10	27	215
West Roxbury, . . . . .	26	12	-	8	203
Brookline, . . . . .	9	2	5	122	66
Total, . . . . .	1,030	666	131	504	3,564

POLICE COURTESY  
CONTRIBUTION ON PROHIBITION DURING THE YEAR ENDING MARCH, 30, 1911.  
TABLE VII. OFFICERS, BY GRADE AND SEX.

[illegible]



**POLICE COURTS — Continued.**

CASES TAKEN ON PROBATION DURING THE YEAR ENDING SEPT. 30, 1911 — Continued.

**TABLE VII.—Offences, by Courts and Sex — Concluded.**

[illegible]





POLICE COURTS—Continued.  
 CASES TAKEN ON PROBATION DURING THE YEAR ENDING SEPT. 30, 1911—Concluded.  
 TABLE VIII. — Ages, by Courts and Sex.

Courts.	Under 10 Years.		10 to 12 Years.		13 Years.		14 Years.		15 Years.		16 Years.		17 Years.		18 Years.		19 Years.		20 Years.		21 Years.		22 to 30 Years.		31 to 40 Years.		41 to 50 Years.		Over 50 Years.		Total.	
	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.
Brockton, . . . . .	1	—	16	—	6	—	17	—	11	—	10	2	4	—	5	—	1	—	10	1	11	—	100	4	148	3	97	2	50	1	487	13
Chelsea, . . . . .	3	—	13	—	1	—	2	—	5	1	6	—	1	—	5	—	5	—	3	—	9	—	87	—	103	3	82	2	45	1	370	7
Chilcopee, . . . . .	1	—	3	—	1	—	3	1	3	—	3	—	5	1	4	—	2	—	—	—	2	—	5	—	5	1	3	—	1	—	41	3
Fitchburg, . . . . .	6	—	12	—	5	—	8	2	4	—	11	—	3	—	5	—	4	—	4	—	3	—	40	2	43	3	42	2	22	1	212	10
Holyoke, . . . . .	—	—	2	1	2	1	8	1	8	2	9	—	2	3	3	—	2	1	4	—	4	—	42	2	39	9	49	4	20	1	194	25
Lawrence, . . . . .	9	—	41	1	23	—	15	1	23	—	16	2	3	6	5	2	3	5	3	—	—	1	17	6	23	7	19	4	10	2	210	37
Lee, . . . . .	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	—	3	—	5	—	7	—	18	—
Lowell, . . . . .	2	—	20	2	8	—	12	—	10	5	11	—	2	1	6	2	2	—	1	2	2	—	49	6	73	15	66	9	41	6	305	48
Marlborough, . . . . .	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	—	3	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	—	9	—	15	—	5	—	37	—
Newburyport, . . . . .	3	—	4	2	3	—	5	—	2	—	4	—	4	—	4	—	—	—	1	—	2	—	11	—	23	—	10	—	9	1	85	3
Newton, . . . . .	1	1	27	—	15	—	11	1	12	1	16	1	15	—	22	2	7	—	6	1	15	—	95	4	75	2	47	2	22	2	386	17
Somerville, . . . . .	9	—	30	—	14	—	20	1	21	2	17	—	12	1	10	—	6	—	4	—	7	1	45	2	63	8	41	8	20	1	319	25
Springfield, . . . . .	3	—	6	—	3	—	11	1	3	1	13	1	2	1	—	—	3	—	1	—	—	—	11	3	15	5	9	1	4	—	84	13
Williamstown, . . . . .	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	5	—	3	—	1	—	10	—
Total, . . . . .	38	1	174	6	81	2	115	8	103	12	116	6	54	13	89	6	35	6	37	4	55	2	509	39	627	56	488	34	267	16	2,758	201







### CONCEPT OF PROBABILITY

[illegible]

MUNICIPAL COURTS — *Concluded.*TABLE VI. — *Results in Municipal Courts.*

COURTS.	Number surrendered to the Court for Violation of the Terms of Probation.	Number disappeared and defaulted.	Number arrested for New Offences during Probation.	Number who had their Probation extended.	Number of Cases on File or discharged at Expiration of Probation.
Boston, . . . . .	583	587	76	283	1,700
Brighton, . . . . .	2	13	2	2	49
Charlestown, . . . . .	19	2	1	4	50
Dorchester, . . . . .	6	2	—	—	97
East Boston, . . . . .	36	5	4	—	72
Roxbury, . . . . .	311	40	33	58	1,112
South Boston, . . . . .	38	3	10	27	215
West Roxbury, . . . . .	26	12	—	8	203
Brookline, . . . . .	9	2	5	122	66
Total, . . . . .	1,030	666	131	504	3,564











POLICE COURTS—Continued.  
 CASES TAKEN ON PROBATION DURING THE YEAR ENDING SEPT. 30, 1911—Concluded.  
 TABLE VIII.—Ages, by Courts and Sex.

Courts.	Under 10 Years.		10 to 12 Years.		13 Years.		14 Years.		15 Years.		16 Years.		17 Years.		18 Years.		19 Years.		20 Years.		21 Years.		22 to 30 Years.		31 to 40 Years.		41 to 50 Years.		Over 50 Years.		Total.	
	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.
Brockton, . . . . .	1	—	16	—	6	—	17	—	11	—	10	2	4	—	5	—	1	—	10	1	11	—	100	4	148	3	97	2	50	1	487	13
Chelsea, . . . . .	3	—	13	—	1	—	2	—	5	1	6	—	1	—	5	—	5	—	3	—	9	—	87	—	103	3	82	2	45	1	370	7
Chicopee, . . . . .	1	—	3	—	1	—	3	1	3	—	3	—	5	1	4	—	2	—	—	—	2	—	5	—	5	1	3	—	1	—	41	3
Fitchburg, . . . . .	6	—	12	—	5	—	8	2	4	—	11	—	3	—	5	—	4	—	4	—	3	—	40	2	43	3	42	2	22	1	212	10
Holyoke, . . . . .	—	—	2	1	2	1	8	1	8	2	9	—	2	3	3	—	2	1	4	—	4	—	42	2	39	9	49	4	20	1	194	25
Lawrence, . . . . .	9	—	41	1	23	—	15	1	23	—	16	2	3	6	5	2	3	5	3	—	—	1	17	6	23	7	19	4	10	2	210	37
Lee, . . . . .	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	—	3	—	5	—	7	—	18	—
Lowell, . . . . .	2	—	20	2	8	—	12	—	10	5	11	—	2	1	6	2	2	—	1	2	2	—	49	6	73	15	66	9	41	6	305	48
Marlborough, . . . . .	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	—	1	—	—	—	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	—	9	—	15	—	5	—	37	—
Newburyport, . . . . .	3	—	4	2	3	—	5	—	2	—	4	—	4	—	4	—	—	—	1	—	2	—	11	—	23	—	10	—	9	1	85	3
Newton, . . . . .	1	1	27	—	15	—	11	1	12	1	16	1	15	—	22	2	7	—	6	1	15	—	95	4	75	2	47	2	22	2	386	17
Somerville, . . . . .	9	—	30	—	14	—	20	1	21	2	17	—	12	1	10	—	6	—	4	—	7	1	45	2	63	8	41	8	20	1	319	25
Springfield, . . . . .	3	—	6	—	3	—	11	1	3	1	13	1	2	1	—	—	3	—	1	—	—	—	11	3	15	5	9	1	4	—	84	13
Williamstown, . . . . .	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	5	—	3	—	1	—	10	—
Total, . . . . .	38	1	174	6	81	2	115	8	103	12	116	6	54	13	69	6	35	6	37	4	55	2	509	29	627	56	468	34	267	16	2,768	201

28

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	-----



POLICE COURTS — *Concluded.*TABLE IX. — *Results in Police Courts.*

Courts.	Number surrendered to the Court for Violation of the Terms of Probation.	Number disappeared and defaulted.	Number arrested for New Offences during Probation.	Number who had their Probation extended.	Number of Cases on File or discharged at Expiration of Probation.
.	42	19	-	-	425
.	46	-	-	-	309
.	2	2	1	1	42
.	23	25	4	2	170
.	1	-	25	-	153
.	12	2	22	1	124
.	1	-	1	9	19
.	81	2	12	26	290
h,	4	8	1	4	26
ert,	2	-	-	-	42
.	31	1	21	52	251
.	20	54	11	490	310
.	10	5	-	7	47
own,	-	-	-	-	-
.	275	118	98	592	2,208

## Backto

## Shelva

Chicopee

**Fach:**

**Hokyo**

**Lawyer**

La,

Lowell

Marib

## Newt

New!

**Scout**

Spring

五

1

11



POLICE COURTS — *Concluded.*TABLE IX. — *Results in Police Courts.*

Courts.	Number surrendered to the Court for Violation of the Terms of Probation.	Number disappeared and defaulted.	Number arrested for New Offences during Probation.	Number who had their Probation extended.	Number of Cases on File or discharged at Expiration of Probation.
Rockton, . . . . .	42	19	—	—	425
Chelsea, . . . . .	46	—	—	—	309
Chicopee, . . . . .	2	2	1	1	42
Fitchburg, . . . . .	23	25	4	2	170
Holyoke, . . . . .	1	—	25	—	153
Lawrence, . . . . .	12	2	22	1	124
Lee, . . . . .	1	—	1	9	19
Lowell, . . . . .	81	2	12	26	290
Marlborough, . . . . .	4	8	1	4	26
Newburyport, . . . . .	2	—	—	—	42
Newton, . . . . .	31	1	21	52	251
Somerville, . . . . .	20	54	11	490	310
Springfield, . . . . .	10	5	—	7	47
Williamstown, . . . . .	—	—	—	—	—
Total, . . . . .	275	118	98	592	2,208

# STILL LIFE

CASE TAKEN IN PROSECUTING THE YEAR ENDING SEP. 30, 1911

Table VI. — *Continued*[illegible]



DISTRICT COURTS — Continued.  
 CASES TAKEN ON PROBATION DURING THE YEAR ENDING SEPT. 30, 1911 — Continued.  
 TABLE XI. — Ages, by Courts and Sex.

Courts.	Under 10 Years.		10 to 12 Years.		13 Years.		14 Years.		15 Years.		16 Years.		17 Years.		18 Years.		19 Years.		20 Years.		21 Years.		22 to 24 Years.		25 to 29 Years.		30 to 39 Years.		40 to 49 Years.		Over 50 Years.		Total.	
	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.
Barnstable, First.	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	15	2
Barnstable, Second.	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	1
Berkshire, Central.	-	-	5	-	2	1	1	1	1	1	5	-	2	-	2	-	2	-	1	-	5	-	26	3	38	-	15	2	140	9	-	-	14	1
Berkshire, Northern.	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	2	-	-	-	3	-	21	1	17	1	23	1	13	-	81	3	-	-
Berkshire, Southern.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	4	-	5	-	8	-	19	-	-	-
Berkshire, Fourth.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	12	-	15	1	5	-	42	1	-	-
Bristol, First.	2	2	3	2	1	1	1	1	3	2	3	2	1	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	12	2	37	2	22	1	19	-	117	4	-	-
Bristol, Second.	15	6	53	2	28	3	40	6	37	2	55	6	13	2	18	1	4	3	7	1	6	-	43	8	56	14	62	19	23	9	400	92	-	-
Bristol, Third.	1	1	5	-	7	-	8	1	6	-	4	-	5	1	8	-	6	-	7	-	1	2	46	2	34	4	8	1	12	-	166	12	-	-
Bristol, Fourth.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	2	1	-	-	2	-	-	-	9	1	9	1	13	2	6	-	42	7	-	-
Dukes County.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	11	1
Dukes County, First.	1	-	21	3	9	-	9	2	5	1	9	1	3	-	10	4	2	1	4	-	3	1	61	4	74	11	46	6	19	2	279	36	-	-
Dukes County, Second.	1	-	8	-	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	-	5	-	16	1	17	1	6	-	66	3	-	-

Essex, Northern Central, .	-	10	-	1	-	2	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	5	-	9	1	68	9	57	7	63	7	31	1	500		
Essex, Southern, .	-	-	14	1	7	-	12	2	13	3	11	4	5	-	7	1	5	1	-	-	-	-	6	-	34	2		
Essex, Eastern, .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	5	2	10	-	11	-	6	-	34	2	
Essex, Third, .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	4	-	5	-	7	-	21	-	
Franklin, .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	1	2	8	-	11	-	8	1	6	-	40	1	
Franklin, Eastern, .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1		
Hampden, Eastern, .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	13	-	7	-	3	-	30	-		
Hampden, Western, .	3	6	5	-	1	3	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	1	1	17	-	20	1	10	4	8	1	76	7		
Hampshire, .	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	4	-	4	2	1	9	2	11	1	7	-	5	-	45	3	
Hampshire, Eastern, .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	2	-	4	-	11	-	4	-	3	-	25	-	
Middlesex, Central, .	-	6	3	-	-	-	-	3	-	2	-	-	-	3	-	2	-	13	-	11	1	13	1	9	-	65	2	
Middlesex, First Northern, .	-	-	2	-	3	-	3	1	-	1	-	-	-	3	-	2	1	1	12	-	15	-	14	1	11	-	66	2
Middlesex, First Southern, .	-	2	1	-	2	-	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	1	1	2	-	2	-	2	-	1	-	17	-
Middlesex, First Eastern, .	3	1	26	-	36	-	30	1	29	2	26	1	15	2	13	3	17	8	10	-	93	4	91	5	85	5	46	1
Middlesex, Second Eastern, .	1	6	-	-	1	1	-	1	-	3	-	10	-	9	-	6	5	-	4	1	47	3	38	-	32	2	11	-
Middlesex, Third Eastern, .	12	55	2	30	-	22	1	24	3	31	2	19	4	18	-	20	3	6	7	2	96	6	103	11	73	8	21	4
Middlesex, Fourth Eastern, .	1	9	5	-	13	1	5	1	4	-	4	1	2	-	5	-	1	-	1	9	1	30	2	18	-	16	1	
Norfolk, Northern, .	-	3	-	-	-	4	-	2	-	2	-	1	1	1	1	1	5	1	1	9	-	14	-	6	3	3	1	
Norfolk, Southern, .	-	1	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	1	-	1	2	-	-	-	-	1	1	6	-	4	-	-	-	17	-	
Norfolk, Eastern, .	-	-	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	8	2	5	2	8	1	9	1	108	5	141	3	85	1	66	3	
Norfolk, Western, .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	-	6	2	8	1	2	-	2	-	22	3
Plymouth, Second, .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	2	-	4	-	10	-	2	-	2	-	25	-

## COMMISSION ON PROBATION

DISTRICT COURTS—Continued.  
TABLE XI.—Ages, by Courts and Sex—Concluded.

Courts.	Under 10 Years.		10 to 12 Years.		13 Years.		14 Years.		15 Years.		16 Years.		17 Years.		18 Years.		19 Years.		20 Years.		21 Years.		22 to 30 Years.		31 to 40 Years.		41 to 50 Years.		Over 50 Years.		Total.	
	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.
Plymouth, Third,	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Plymouth, Fourth,	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worcester, Central,	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worcester, First Northern,	7	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worcester, First Southern,	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worcester, Second Southern,	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worcester, Third Southern,	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worcester, First Eastern, &	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worcester, Second Eastern,	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worcester, Western,	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Winchendon,	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Leominster,	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total,	50	7	274	8	177	5	1	1	2	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	15	17	10	9	11	1	13	1	10	1	67	1

DISTRICT COURTS—*Continued.*  
TABLE XII.—*Results in District Courts.*

Courts.	Number surrendered to the Court for Violation of the Terms of Probation.	Number disappeared and defaulted.	Number arrested for New Offences during Probation.	Number who had their Probation extended.	Number of Cases on File or discharged at Expiration of Probation.
Barnstable, First, . . . . .	2	-	1	-	22
Barnstable, Second, . . . . .	2	-	-	-	13
Berkshire, Central, . . . . .	37	6	21	4	106
Berkshire, Northern, . . . . .	10	27	-	1	53
Berkshire, Southern, . . . . .	1	3	-	2	8
Berkshire, Fourth, . . . . .	3	2	-	6	21
Bristol, First, . . . . .	2	3	38	-	80
Bristol, Second, . . . . .	87	27	24	4	392
Bristol, Third, . . . . .	22	22	-	84	88
Bristol, Fourth, . . . . .	9	4	-	3	28
Dukes County, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	3
Essex, First, . . . . .	9	17	2	5	162
Essex, Second, . . . . .	4	1	3	-	44
Essex, Northern Central, . . . . .	4	14	16	20	188
Essex, Southern, . . . . .	59	35	32	23	123
Essex, Eastern, . . . . .	5	-	1	5	41
Essex, Third, . . . . .	1	-	-	-	1
Franklin, . . . . .	8	3	1	-	22
Franklin, Eastern, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
Hampden, Eastern, . . . . .	5	8	-	11	19
Hampden, Western, . . . . .	10	17	6	86	45
Hampshire, . . . . .	4	2	2	-	33
Hampshire, Eastern, . . . . .	3	1	1	8	18
Middlesex, Central, . . . . .	4	3	1	-	46
Middlesex, First Northern, . . . . .	3	3	1	-	23
Middlesex, First Southern, . . . . .	4	-	1	-	13
Middlesex, First Eastern, . . . . .	42	82	18	147	387
Middlesex, Second Eastern, . . . . .	4	16	3	95	112
Middlesex, Third Eastern, . . . . .	121	-	69	33	418
Middlesex, Fourth Eastern, . . . . .	9	1	3	86	105
Norfolk, Northern, . . . . .	4	2	-	3	61

DISTRICT COURTS—*Concluded.*TABLE XII. — *Results in District Courts — Concluded.*

COURTS.	Number surrendered to the Court for Violation of the Terms of Probation.	Number disappeared and defaulted.	Number arrested for New Offences during Probation.	Number who had their Probation extended.
Norfolk, Southern, . . . . .	-	-	-	2
Norfolk, Eastern, . . . . .	34	151	2	11
Norfolk, Western, . . . . .	5	4	-	1
Plymouth, Second, . . . . .	3	4	-	21
Plymouth, Third, . . . . .	1	1	-	-
Plymouth, Fourth, . . . . .	3	1	-	1
Worcester, Central, . . . . .	31	2	1	3
Worcester, First Northern, . . . . .	5	-	-	3
Worcester, First Southern, . . . . .	6	4	1	1
Worcester, Second Southern, . . . . .	1	-	-	-
Worcester, Third Southern, . . . . .	1	6	-	1
Worcester, First Eastern, . . . . .	1	-	-	-
Worcester, Second Eastern, . . . . .	3	3	-	-
Worcester, Western, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Winchendon, . . . . .	1	-	-	-
Leominster, . . . . .	1	5	2	7
Total, . . . . .	574	480	250	677



file.

16



## BOSTON JUVENILE COURT.

CASES TAKEN ON PROBATION DURING THE YEAR ENDING SEPT. 30, 1911.

TABLE XIII. — *Offences, by Ages and Sex.*

OFFENCES.	UNDER 10 YEARS.		10 TO 12 YEARS.		13 YEARS.		14 YEARS.		15 YEARS.		16 YEARS.		TOTAL.	
	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.
Appropriation, unlawful.	2	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	1	-
Assault.	1	-	1	-	1	-	6	-	1	-	1	-	16	-
Ball playing in street.	1	-	-	-	1	-	2	-	1	-	3	-	7	-
Begging.	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	5	-
Breaking and entering.	8	-	22	-	12	-	11	-	4	-	8	-	65	-
Breaking glass.	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	2	-	-	-	5	-
Car fare, evading.	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-
City ordinance, violation.	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	2	-
Defacing building.	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	3	-
Drunkenness.	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	3	-	5	-
Forgery.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-
Fornication.	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	2
Gaming, and present at.	-	-	2	-	9	-	8	-	26	-	23	-	68	-
Larceny.	6	-	34	2	19	5	14	4	24	3	29	3	126	17
Loitering.	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	2	-	1	-	6	-
Misiles, throwing.	1	-	3	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	7	-
Newsboys' law, violation.	-	-	1	-	4	-	4	-	6	-	3	-	18	-
Peddling laws, violation.	-	-	-	-	4	-	4	-	3	-	1	-	12	-
Profanity.	-	-	-	-	4	-	4	-	3	-	1	-	4	-
Revolver, discharging.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-
School offender.	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Sodomy.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-
Stolen property, receiving.	-	-	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	2	2
Stubbornness.	-	-	5	-	3	1	2	2	3	2	5	3	18	8
Track walking.	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	2	-
Trespassing.	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
Truancy.	4	-	6	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	-
Waywardness.	1	-	2	2	-	1	3	-	3	2	-	-	9	5
Total.	25	1	81	6	57	7	61	7	91	7	82	7	397	35

BOSTON JUVENILE COURT — *Concluded.*TABLE XIV. — *Results in the Boston Juvenile Court.*

SURRENDERED FROM PROBATION.				Arrested for New Offences.	Probation extended.	Probation to pay a Fine.	Disappeared or defaulted.	On File.
Committed.	Committed by Other Courts.	Fined.	Continued.					
65	3	4	30	12	27	3	49	446

TABLE XVI. — INVESTIGATIONS OF PERSONS IN PRISON, FOR THE YEAR  
ENDING SEPT. 30, 1911.

COURTS.	Number of Investi- gations.	Release recom- mended by Probation Officer.	Release not recom- mended by Probation Officer.	Released.
<i>Superior.</i>				
Barnstable, Bristol, Dukes County and Nantucket.	32	12	20	12
Berkshire, . . . . .	1	1	-	1
Essex, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Franklin, . . . . .	1	1	-	1
Hampden, . . . . .	12	8	4	8
Hampshire, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Middlesex, . . . . .	21	21	-	21
Norfolk, . . . . .	1	1	-	1
Plymouth, . . . . .	2	2	-	2
Suffolk, . . . . .	101	67	34	67
Worcester, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
<i>Municipal.</i>				
Boston, . . . . .	87	47	40	47
Brighton, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Charlestown, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Dorchester, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
East Boston, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Roxbury, . . . . .	6	6	-	6
South Boston, . . . . .	1	-	1	-
West Roxbury, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Brookline, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
<i>Police.</i>				
Brockton, . . . . .	1	1	-	1
Chelsea, . . . . .	5	5	-	5
Chicopee, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Fitchburg, . . . . .	2	1	1	1
Holyoke, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Lawrence, . . . . .	7	7	-	7
Lee, . . . . .	1	1	-	1
Lowell, . . . . .	63	63	-	63
Marlborough, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Newburyport, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Newton, . . . . .	-	-	-	-

TABLE XVI. — INVESTIGATIONS OF PERSONS IN PRISON, FOR THE YEAR  
ENDING SEPT. 30, 1911 — *Continued.*

Cocera.	Number of Investi- gations.	Releases recom- mended by Probation Officer.	Releases not recom- mended by Probation Officer.	Released.
<i>Police — Con.</i>				
Lawrenceville, . . . . .	1	1	-	1
Springfield, . . . . .	4	4	-	4
Wilmington, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
<i>District.</i>				
Barnstable, First, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Barnstable, Second, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Berkshire, Central, . . . . .	11	11	-	11
Berkshire, Northern, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Berkshire, Southern, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Berkshire, Fourth, . . . . .	2	2	-	2
Bristol, First, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Bristol, Second, . . . . .	71	70	1	70
Bristol, Third, . . . . .	43	36	7	36
Bristol, Fourth, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Dukes County, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Essex, First, . . . . .	3	3	-	3
Essex, Second, . . . . .	2	2	-	2
Essex, Northern Central, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Essex, Southern, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Essex, Eastern, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Essex, Third, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Franklin, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Franklin, Eastern, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Hampden, Eastern, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Hampden, Western, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Hampshire, . . . . .	2	2	-	2
Hampshire, Eastern, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Middlesex, Central, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Middlesex, First Northern, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Middlesex, First Southern, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Middlesex, First Eastern, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Middlesex, Second Eastern, . . . . .	-	-	-	-
Middlesex, Third Eastern, . . . . .	12	12	-	12

TABLE XVI.—INVESTIGATIONS OF PERSONS IN PRISON, FOR THE YEAR [—]  
ENDING SEPT. 30, 1911 — *Concluded.*

COURTS.	Number of Investi- gations.	Release recom- mended by Probation Officer.	Release not recom- mended by Probation Officer.	Released.
<i>District — Con.</i>				
Middlesex, Fourth Eastern, . . . . .	14	14	—	14
Norfolk, Northern, . . . . .	—	—	—	—
Norfolk, Southern, . . . . .	—	—	—	—
Norfolk, Eastern, . . . . .	—	—	—	—
Norfolk, Western, . . . . .	—	—	—	—
Plymouth, Second, . . . . .	—	—	—	—
Plymouth, Third, . . . . .	—	—	—	—
Plymouth, Fourth, . . . . .	—	—	—	—
Worcester, Central, . . . . .	97	52	45	97
Worcester, First Northern, . . . . .	2	2	—	2
Worcester, First Southern, . . . . .	—	—	—	—
Worcester, Second Southern, . . . . .	—	—	—	—
Worcester, Third Southern, . . . . .	—	—	—	—
Worcester, First Eastern, . . . . .	—	—	—	—
Worcester, Second Eastern, . . . . .	—	—	—	—
Worcester, Western, . . . . .	—	—	—	—
Winchendon, . . . . .	—	—	—	—
Leominster, . . . . .	1	1	—	1
Total, . . . . .	609	456	153	501

LE XVII. — CASES OF DRUNKENNESS INVESTIGATED BY THE PROBATION OFFICERS DURING THE YEAR ENDING SEPT. 30, 1911.

[Revised Laws, Chapter 212, Section 37, as amended by Acts of 1905, Chapter 284.]

COURTS.	STATEMENTS OF PERSONS ARRESTED.			Number of Cases of Drunk- ennes investigated by Order of the Court. (R. L., c. 212, § 40.)	Number released by Direc- tion of Probation Officer.
	Number transmitted to Probation Officer.	Number found to be True.	Number found to be Untrue.		
<i>Municipal.</i>					
ton, . . . . .	31,292	25,307	5,985	2,279	19,702
pton, . . . . .	619	118	501	189	-
riestown, . . . . .	4,234	3,021	1,203	4,294	997
chester, . . . . .	974	821	153	-	286
* Boston, . . . . .	1,406	1,175	231	41	393
xbury, . . . . .	4,181	3,508	673	-	1,464
th Boston, . . . . .	3,470	3,094	376	-	857
st Roxbury, . . . . .	708	651	57	3	33
okline, . . . . .	6	6	-	209	6
Total, . . . . .	46,880	37,701	9,179	7,015	23,738
<i>Police.</i>					
ockton, . . . . .	277	270	7	1,184	186
elsea, . . . . .	3,516	2,853	663	477	255
hisopee, . . . . .	352	352	-	-	5
itchburg, . . . . .	1,002	988	14	-	389
olyoke, . . . . .	662	662	-	2	202
awrence, . . . . .	2,279	2,172	107	145	512
ee, . . . . .	17	14	3	-	-
owell, . . . . .	3,333	3,231	102	446	1,100
arlborough, . . . . .	241	240	1	-	161
Newburyport, . . . . .	332	332	-	-	195
ewton, . . . . .	508	270	238	109	140
Somerville, . . . . .	167	157	10	517	29
Springfield, . . . . .	1,505	1,350	155	-	709
Williamstown, . . . . .	9	9	-	2	-
Total, . . . . .	14,200	12,900	1,300	2,942	3,883

TABLE XVII. — CASES OF DRUNKENNESS INVESTIGATED BY THE PROBATION OFFICERS DURING THE YEAR ENDING SEPT. 30, 1911 — *Continued.*

Courts.	STATEMENTS OF PERSONS ARRESTED.			Number of Cases of Drunkenness investigated by Order of the Court. (R. L., c. 212, § 49.)	Number released by Direction of Probation Officer.
	Number transmitted to Probation Officer.	Number found to be True.	Number found to be Untrue.		
<i>District.</i>					
Barnstable, First, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
Barnstable, Second, . . . . .	9	9	-	2	1
Berkshire, Central, . . . . .	583	580	23	47	500
Berkshire, Northern, . . . . .	39	39	-	193	39
Berkshire, Southern, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
Berkshire, Fourth, . . . . .	11	11	-	303	11
Bristol, First, . . . . .	1,437	1,420	17	-	340
Bristol, Second, . . . . .	2,008	1,199	806	-	1,199
Bristol, Third, . . . . .	2,335	2,335	-	2,335	235
Bristol, Fourth, . . . . .	65	52	13	-	52
Dukes County, . . . . .	1	1	-	3	-
Essex, First, . . . . .	505	490	15	-	491
Essex, Second, . . . . .	102	101	1	-	20
Essex, Northern Central, . . . . .	1,116	1,093	23	-	271
Essex, Southern, . . . . .	1,681	1,419	262	85	626
Essex, Eastern, . . . . .	599	443	146	23	249
Essex, Third, . . . . .	7	7	-	-	6
Franklin, . . . . .	175	122	53	4	107
Franklin, Eastern, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
Hampden, Eastern, . . . . .	1	1	-	2	1
Hampden, Western, . . . . .	469	466	1	1	15
Hampshire, . . . . .	1	1	-	-	1
Hampshire, Eastern, . . . . .	72	66	7	-	15
Middlesex, Central, . . . . .	278	276	2	156	95
Middlesex, First Northern, . . . . .	122	122	-	-	93
Middlesex, First Southern, . . . . .	155	153	2	-	15
Middlesex, First Eastern, . . . . .	1,075	1,026	39	-	610
Middlesex, Second Eastern, . . . . .	553	533	20	3	354



**TABLE XVII. — CASES OF DRUNKENNESS INVESTIGATED BY THE PROBATION OFFICERS DURING THE YEAR ENDING SEPT. 30, 1911 —**  
**Concluded.**

Courts.	STATEMENTS OF PERSONS ARRESTED.			Number of Cases of Drunkenness investigated by Order of the Court. (R. L. c. 27, § 6.)	Number released by Discretion of Probation Officer
	Number transmitted to Probation Officer.	Number found to be Truants.	Number found to be Untrued.		
<i>District — Con.</i>					
Middlesex, Third Eastern, . . . . .	1,746	1,806	240	149	737
Middlesex, Fourth Eastern, . . . . .	228	199	29	14	18
Norfolk, Northern, . . . . .	247	267	110	109	133
Norfolk, Southern, . . . . .	-	-	-	188	-
Norfolk, Eastern, . . . . .	226	224	2	677	209
Norfolk, Western, . . . . .	115	115	-	42	-
Plymouth, Second, . . . . .	1	1	-	-	1
Plymouth, Third, . . . . .	23	23	-	46	29
Plymouth, Fourth, . . . . .	80	80	-	-	50
Worcester, Central, . . . . .	3,999	3,307	692	1,817	3,368
Worcester, First Northern, . . . . .	252	246	6	-	78
Worcester, First Southern, . . . . .	246	246	-	-	36
Worcester, Second Southern, . . . . .	123	123	-	-	-
Worcester, Third Southern, . . . . .	-	-	-	189	-
Worcester, First Eastern, . . . . .	83	82	1	-	1
Worcester, Second Eastern, . . . . .	338	331	7	1	2
Worcester, Western, . . . . .	51	51	-	44	-
Winchendon, . . . . .	104	104	-	-	8
Leominster, . . . . .	13	11	2	135	4
Total, . . . . .	21,201	18,782	2,519	6,537	10,125
Grand total, . . . . .	82,381	69,388	12,998	16,494	37,746

TABLE XVIII. — COMPARATIVE DISPOSITION OF CASES FOR THE YEAR  
ENDING SEPT. 30, 1911.<sup>1</sup>

COURTS.	Cases begun.	Cases placed on Probation.	Per Cent.	Cases released under 1905 Law.	Per Cent.	Cases sentenced.	Per Cent.
<i>Municipal.</i>							
Boston, . . . . .	42,235	3,060	7.2	19,702	46.6	16,600	39.3
Brighton, . . . . .	1,385	51	3.7	—	—	1,034	74.7
Charlestown, . . . . .	5,539	209	3.8	997	17.6	2,248	40.6
Dorchester, . . . . .	2,042	115	5.6	286	14.0	1,116	54.7
East Boston, . . . . .	2,851	262	9.2	393	13.8	1,256	44.1
Roxbury, . . . . .	8,204	1,374	16.7	1,464	17.8	3,309	40.3
South Boston, . . . . .	4,910	273	5.6	857	17.5	2,411	49.1
West Roxbury, . . . . .	1,630	286	17.5	33	2.0	866	53.1
Brookline, . . . . .	451	132	29.3	6	1.3	206	45.7
<i>Police.</i>							
Brockton, . . . . .	2,392	500	20.9	186	7.8	1,695	70.9
Chelsea, . . . . .	4,780	377	7.9	255	5.3	3,616	75.6
Chicopee, . . . . .	577	44	7.6	5	.9	285	49.4
Fitchburg, . . . . .	1,403	222	15.8	389	27.7	593	42.3
Holyoke, . . . . .	1,704	219	12.9	202	11.9	1,161	68.1
Lawrence, . . . . .	3,841	247	6.4	512	13.3	2,521	65.6
Lee, . . . . .	283	18	6.4	—	—	204	72.1
Lowell, . . . . .	4,852	353	7.3	1,100	22.7	2,791	57.5
Marlborough, . . . . .	461	37	8.0	161	34.9	108	23.4
Newburyport, . . . . .	503	88	17.5	195	38.8	151	30.0
Newton, . . . . .	1,013	403	39.8	140	13.8	522	51.5
Somerville, . . . . .	1,418	344	24.3	29	2.0	664	46.8
Springfield, . . . . .	3,184	97	3.0	709	22.3	1,959	61.5
Williamstown, . . . . .	95	10	10.5	—	—	38	40.0
<i>District.</i>							
Barnstable, First, . . . . .	200	17	8.5	—	—	132	66.0
Barnstable, Second, . . . . .	121	15	12.4	1	.8	71	58.7
Berkshire, Central, . . . . .	1,814	149	8.2	560	30.9	844	46.5
Berkshire, Northern, . . . . .	884	84	9.5	39	4.4	390	44.1
Berkshire, Southern, . . . . .	262	19	7.3	—	—	177	67.6
Berkshire, Fourth, . . . . .	583	43	7.4	11	1.9	257	44.1
Bristol, First, . . . . .	1,836	121	6.6	340	18.5	981	53.4

TABLE XVIII. — COMPARATIVE DISPOSITION OF CASES FOR THE YEAR  
ENDING SEPT. 30, 1911 — *Continued.*

COURTS.	Cases begun.	Cases placed on Probation.	Per Cent.	Cases released under 1905 Law.	Per Cent.	Cases sentenced.	Per Cent.
<i>District — Con.</i>							
Bristol, Second, . . .	4,192	562	11.0	1,199	28.6	1,505	35.9
Bristol, Third, . . .	3,641	170	4.7	285	7.8	1,272	34.9
Bristol, Fourth, . . .	1,143	49	4.3	52	4.3	657	57.5
Dukes County, . . .	103	12	11.7	—	—	24	23.3
Essex, First, . . .	2,029	214	10.5	491	24.2	1,079	53.1
Essex, Second, . . .	356	57	16.0	20	5.6	195	54.8
Essex, Northern Central, . .	1,748	258	14.7	271	15.5	742	42.4
Essex, Southern, . . .	1,648	343	20.8	626	37.9	646	39.2
Essex, Eastern, . . .	1,209	36	2.9	249	20.6	409	33.8
Essex, Third, . . .	277	21	7.6	6	2.2	152	54.9
Franklin, . . .	618	41	6.6	107	17.3	386	62.5
Franklin, Eastern, . . .	72	3	4.2	—	—	40	55.6
Hampden, Eastern, . . .	466	30	6.4	1	.2	203	43.6
Hampden, Western, . . .	954	83	8.7	15	1.6	525	55.0
Hampshire, . . .	868	48	5.5	1	.1	589	67.9
Hampshire, Eastern, . . .	181	25	13.8	15	8.3	84	46.4
Middlesex, Central, . . .	589	67	11.4	95	16.1	287	48.7
Middlesex, First Northern, . .	430	68	15.8	93	21.6	143	33.3
Middlesex, First Southern, . .	492	17	3.5	15	3.0	200	40.7
Middlesex, First Eastern, . .	2,519	553	21.9	610	24.2	810	32.1
Middlesex, Second Eastern, . .	1,091	181	16.6	354	32.4	320	29.3
Middlesex, Third Eastern, . .	3,324	583	17.5	737	22.2	1,075	32.3
Middlesex, Fourth Eastern, . .	831	130	15.6	13	1.6	480	57.8
Norfolk, Northern, . . .	1,398	60	4.3	133	9.5	737	52.7
Norfolk, Southern, . . .	360	17	4.7	—	—	253	70.3
Norfolk, Eastern, . . .	1,971	460	23.3	209	10.6	1,000	50.7
Norfolk, Western, . . .	836	25	7.4	—	—	134	39.9
Plymouth, Second, . . .	1,308	25	1.9	1	.1	472	36.1
Plymouth, Third, . . .	283	16	5.7	29	10.2	153	54.1
Plymouth, Fourth, . . .	301	7	2.3	50	16.6	135	44.9
Worcester, Central, . . .	7,289	238	3.3	3,368	46.3	2,548	35.1
Worcester, First Northern, . .	652	49	7.5	78	11.9	230	35.3
Worcester, First Southern, . .	691	45	6.5	36	5.2	336	48.6

TABLE XVIII. — COMPARATIVE DISPOSITION OF CASES FOR THE YEAR  
ENDING SEPT. 30, 1911 — *Concluded.*

COURTS.	Cases begun.	Cases placed on Probation.	Per Cent.	Cases released under 1905 Law.	Per Cent.	Cases sentenced.	Per Cent.
<i>District — Con.</i>							
Worcester, Second Southern, .	322	69	21.4	—	—	264	81.9
Worcester, Third Southern, .	369	54	14.6	—	—	152	41.2
Worcester, First Eastern, .	165	11	6.7	1	.6	76	46.1
Worcester, Second Eastern, .	528	64	12.1	2	.4	320	60.6
Worcester, Western, . . .	391	100	25.6	—	—	263	67.3
Winchendon, . . . . .	153	7	4.6	8	5.2	101	66.0
Leominster, . . . . .	296	62	20.9	4	1.4	163	55.1
Total, . . . . .	147,027	14,129	9.6	37,746	25.7	67,406	45.8

TABLE XIX. — AMOUNT OF MONEY RECEIVED FOR THE YEAR ENDING  
SEPT. 30, 1911.

Courts.	Restitu- tion.	Repara- tion.	Non- support.	Court Ex- pense.	Sus- pended Sen- tence.	Total.
<i>Superior.</i>						
Barnstable, Bristol, Dukes County and Nantucket.	-	-	-	\$12 15	-	\$12 15
Berkshire, . . . . .	-	-	\$222 00	76 25	-	298 25
Essex, . . . . .	\$5 58	-	-	-	-	5 58
Franklin, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hampden, . . . . .	50 00	\$100 00	45 00	-	-	195 00
Hampshire, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-
Middlesex, . . . . .	1,478 45	986 00	1,642 50	878 50	-	4,985 45
Norfolk, . . . . .	63 33	-	238 00	-	-	301 33
Plymouth, . . . . .	95 00	-	73 50	50 00	-	218 50
Suffolk, . . . . .	1,383 50	-	2,685 38	485 62	-	4,554 50
Worcester, . . . . .	380 00	-	74 00	-	-	454 00
Total, . . . . .	\$3,455 86	\$1,086 00	\$4,980 38	\$1,502 52	-	\$11,024 76
<i>Boston Juvenile.</i>						
Boston, . . . . .	\$554 45	\$78 15	-	\$40 95	\$116 10	\$789 65
<i>Municipal.</i>						
Boston, . . . . .	\$1,226 22	\$15 11	\$5,278 85	-	\$3,388 00	\$9,908 18
Brighton, . . . . .	20 00	-	84 50	-	893 00	997 50
Charlestown, . . . . .	62 98	-	494 00	-	4 00	560 98
Dorchester, . . . . .	14 50	-	3 77	-	420 00	438 27
East Boston, . . . . .	34 35	52 00	904 50	-	786 00	1,776 85
Roxbury, . . . . .	297 51	-	12,079 01	\$45 90	3,968 60	16,391 02
South Boston, . . . . .	-	-	158 00	-	93 00	251 00
West Roxbury, . . . . .	150 26	-	89 00	-	913 00	1,152 26
Brookline, . . . . .	18 37	2 55	264 50	-	237 75	523 17
Total, . . . . .	\$1,824 19	\$69 66	\$19,356 13	\$45 90	\$10,703 35	\$31,999 23
<i>Police.</i>						
Brockton, . . . . .	\$60 06	-	\$335 80	-	\$3,116 00	\$3,511 86
Chelsea, . . . . .	78 00	-	158 50	-	1,299 00	1,535 50
Chicopee, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fitchburg, . . . . .	33 00	-	13 00	-	390 00	436 00
Holyoke, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	236 50	236 50
Lawrence, . . . . .	18 00	-	173 00	-	123 00	314 00
Lee, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-

TABLE XIX. — AMOUNT OF MONEY RECEIVED FOR THE YEAR ENDING  
SEPT. 30, 1911 — *Continued.*

Courts.	Restitu- tion.	Repara- tion.	Non- support.	Court Ex- pense.	Sus- pended Sen- tence.	Total.
<i>Police — Con.</i>						
Lowell, . . . . .	\$52 00	\$28 50	\$1,861 15	\$77 00	-	\$2,018 65
Marlborough, . . . . .	-	-	66 00	-	-	66 00
Newburyport, . . . . .	35 37	-	259 15	224 00	-	518 52
Newton, . . . . .	37 50	-	661 00	-	\$899 00	1,597 50
Somerville, . . . . .	38 50	-	267 00	-	320 00	625 50
Springfield, . . . . .	26 00	-	333 00	-	596 20	955 20
Williamstown, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total, . . . . .	\$378 43	\$28 50	\$4,127 60	\$301 00	\$6,979 70	\$11,815 23
<i>District.</i>						
Barnstable, First, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-
Barnstable, Second, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-
Berkshire, Central, . . . . .	\$111 80	\$15 00	\$715 40	\$120 25	\$24 03	\$986 48
Berkshire, Northern, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-
Berkshire, Southern, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-
Berkshire, Fourth, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bristol, First, . . . . .	-	-	12 00	-	10 00	22 00
Bristol, Second, . . . . .	214 94	27 30	162 00	378 34	-	782 58
Bristol, Third, . . . . .	20 00	-	41 48	-	9 00	70 48
Bristol, Fourth, . . . . .	9 90	-	-	80 00	-	89 90
Dukes County, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	20 00	20 00
Essex, First, . . . . .	181 67	-	2,559 50	-	449 00	3,190 17
Essex, Second, . . . . .	125 00	1 00	123 00	-	160 50	409 50
Essex, Northern Central, . . . . .	11 25	-	698 00	1 80	1,262 00	1,973 05
Essex, Southern, . . . . .	283 65	-	4,733 12	-	1,650 90	6,667 67
Essex, Eastern, . . . . .	83 00	-	1,196 43	-	49 00	1,328 43
Essex, Third, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-
Franklin, . . . . .	-	-	16 00	42 36	370 00	428 36
Franklin, Eastern, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hampden, Eastern, . . . . .	1 00	-	23 00	-	41 75	65 75
Hampden, Western, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	24 00	24 00
Hampshire, . . . . .	-	-	38 00	-	168 00	206 00
Hampshire, Eastern, . . . . .	-	-	35 41	-	-	35 41
Middlesex, Central, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-
Middlesex, First Northern, . . . . .	-	-	-	44 40	197 18	241 58

**TABLE XIX. — AMOUNT OF MONEY RECEIVED FOR THE YEAR ENDING  
SEPT. 30, 1911 — *Concluded.***

Courts.	Restitu- tion.	Repara- tion.	Non- support.	Court Ex- pense.	Sus- pended Sen- tence.	Total.
<i>District — Con.</i>						
<b>Middlesex, First Southern,</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Middlesex, First Eastern, .</b>	\$129 87	-	\$2,074 00	-	\$4 00	\$2,207 87
<b>Middlesex, Second Eastern, .</b>	5 00	\$13 00	487 00	-	455 00	960 00;
<b>Middlesex, Third Eastern, .</b>	305 18	76 25	1,064 91	-	628 50	2,074 82
<b>Middlesex, Fourth Eastern, .</b>	110 00	55 00	478 00	\$95 00	903 00	1,640 00
<b>Norfolk, Northern, . . .</b>	116 00	-	2 00	4 00	50 00	172 00
<b>Norfolk, Southern, . . .</b>	-	-	-	-	828 00	828 00
<b>Norfolk, Eastern, . . .</b>	217 75	-	1,007 25	69 20	2,436 41	3,730 61
<b>Norfolk, Western, . . .</b>	-	-	150 00	-	-	150 00;
<b>Plymouth, Second, . . .</b>	-	-	15 50	-	50 00	65 50
<b>Plymouth, Third, . . .</b>	-	-	120 00	-	-	120 00
<b>Plymouth, Fourth, . . .</b>	-	-	104 00	-	-	104 00
<b>Worcester, Central, . . .</b>	187 77	140 25	157 00	-	-	485 02
<b>Worcester, First Northern, .</b>	-	231 00	196 50	2 16	18 00	447 66
<b>Worcester, First Southern, .</b>	-	-	75 00	150 00	-	225 00
<b>Worcester, Second Southern, .</b>	-	-	-	-	27 40	27 40
<b>Worcester, Third Southern, .</b>	100	7 50	217 00	-	-	225 50
<b>Worcester, First Eastern, .</b>	-	-	35 00	-	-	35 00
<b>Worcester, Second Eastern, .</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Worcester, Western, . . .</b>	205 58	-	-	-	-	205 58
<b>Winchendon, . . .</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Leominster, . . .</b>	9 30	-	162 00	-	150 00	321 30
<b>Total, . . .</b>	\$2,329 64	\$566 30	\$16,698 50	\$987 51	\$9,984 67	\$30,566 62
<b>Grand total, . . .</b>	\$8,542 57	\$1,828 61	\$45,162 61	\$2,877 88	\$27,783 82	\$86,195 49

## LIST OF PROBATION OFFICERS.

*Superior Courts.*

Court.	Name of Officer.	P. O. Address.
Barnstable County, } Bristol County, } Dukes County, } Nantucket County, }	Francis S. Babbitt, . . . .	Taunton.
Berkshire County, .	David L. Evans, . . . .	Pittsfield, Box 1498.
Essex County, . .	William T. Kimball, . . . .	Lawrence.
Franklin County, .	George L. Harris, . . . .	Northampton.
Hampden County, .	Edward A. Hall, . . . .	Springfield.
Hampshire County, .	George L. Harris, . . . .	Northampton.
Middlesex County, {	James P. Ramsay, . . . .	38 Gates Street, Lowell.
	Miss M. Louise Higginbotham, . .	Waltham.
Norfolk County, } Plymouth County, }	Charles C. Henry, . . . .	Welleley Hills.
Suffolk County, {	Richard Keefe, . . . .	Court House, Boston.
	James F. Wise, . . . .	
	Charles M. Warren, . . . .	
	Miss Kate M. Reilly, . . . .	
	Miss Alice M. Power, . . . .	
	Mrs. Frances McCormick, . . . .	
Worcester County, .	James Early, . . . .	Worcester.

*Boston Juvenile Court.*

Boston, . . . {	Roy M. Cushman, . . . .	Court House, Boston.
	Joseph Connolly, . . . .	